A common initiative for sharks and rays at St Barth: the first step for French West Indies? Una iniciativa conjunta para la protección de tiburones y rayas en St Barth: ¿El primer paso para las Antillas Francesas?

Une initiative commune pour la protection des requins et raies à St Barth : un premier pas pour les Antilles françaises ?

BEAUFORT OCEANE<sup>1</sup>, GREAUX SEBASTIEN<sup>2</sup>

1 Association Kap Natirel, oceane.beaufort@hotmail.fr

2 Agence territoriale de l'environnement de St Barthélemy, sebastien.greaux@agence-environnement.fr

KEYWORDS: Sharks, rays, stakeholders, conservation, St Barth

## EXTENDED ABSTRACT

With more than 50 species of sharks and rays recorded, the French West Indies (FWI) harbor a significant specific diversity. However, this diversity is fragile with over 35% of species listed on the IUCN Red List and 33% are near-threatened (*Beaufort*,2017). Yet, there is no local action plan for sharks and rays conservation. For various reasons (large relative abundance compared to other islands of the FWI, shark sanctuaries in the neighboring Dutch islands of Saba, Sint-Maarten and Statia...) the development of conservation measures in St Barth would constitute major headway for the protection of sharks and rays in the FWI.

Located in the lesser Antilles, the 25km² territory of St Barth has undergone a rapid transition from an isolated island to a luxury tourist destination. Within the last 50 years, the island population has increased by 333% and its housing by 633% (*Diaz, 2003; INSEE, 2015*). This quick and important development has had evident impacts on the environment. A recent assessment highlighted that the marine ecosystem is reaching worrining thresholds: reefs around the island are in critical condition, the macroalgae cover in the reef systems has increased rapidly and is now over 50% (*Jadot, 2015*).

How is it possible to reconcile tourism wich contributes to the economic growth of the island with environment conservation and species protection ?

With funding from European Union's BEST 2.0 Program this project aims at adjusting, developing and promoting conservation measures for elasmobranchs in St Barth. In order to do so different, complementary and inseparable actions are necessary.

The first step is to improve biological, ecological and socio-economic knowledge on elasmobranchs. Where are sharks and rays found? Wich species are present? What are the interactions with humans? What is the place of sharks/rays in the local culture and local economie? Answers to these questions will help identify priority area and priority actions on St Barth. To collect this data, two methods are used: a standardized Baited Remote Underwater Video (BRUV) base line survey (*Cappo et al.*,2004) and stakeholders (fishermen, divers and local people) surveys.

Started last January 2018 the project is still on-going but the first results show an high presence of elasmobranchs on BRUV records (at least one elasmobranch on 80% of record) around the coasts of St Barth. Out of the nine species observed, the most common ones are nurse shark (*Ginglymostoma cirratum*), stingray (*Hypanus sp.*) and caribbean reef shark (*Carcharhinus perezi*). These species have an important value for some countries that have developed tourism on sharks and rays in the Caribbean. In addition, vulnerable stage of life for several species are observed like pregnant females, neonates and juveniles. In the next months complementary analysis will be conducted to identify the major factors that can impact sharks and rays distribution in the waters of St Barth.

Regarding local fisheries, there is very few demand for shark meat. Shark fishing is mainly accidental and mostly concerns nurse sharks bycatch in traps. These bycatches represent a serious ecological and economical issue. First nurse shark fishing are forbidden in St Barth. Then nurse shark unwanted catches are regular (sometime more than 50 per months for a single fisherman) and can make serious damage on fishing traps. To get the first leads to improve the fishing trap, the adaptations / modifications made by the fishermen themselves have been listed.

The second step is a consultation process with international experts and managers of neighbouring islands because issues on St Barth may be similar across the region. The idea is to discuss the actions already implemented on their territories, get feedbacks and share lessons learn. In addition, the results will be presented during workshops to local

actors with a discussion about the measures that could be implemented on the island. This step is important to ensure the proposed measures are appropriate, relevant, feasible, understandable and accepted by the users and general public.

The third component af the project is the communication aspect. In addition to conferences and exhibitions for the public, articles in social media, talks and interventions in schools, a documentary on the project has been producted to present the importance of sharks/rays for their environment but also for St Barth. By sending a positive message about sharks/rays it is hoped that this will raise public awarness for sharys/rays conservation.

Because there is a relatively large population of sharks, species attractive by tourism, and few consumer demand for shark meat, some actions could be developed on the island to promote responsible shark/ray tourism and shark/ray "friendly fishing". On an island like St Barth, where the image are very important for local economy, this kind of actions could be very effective.

For this project to be successful, the coorperation and the collaboration of all actors is paramount. Indeed voting a regulation is one step, but its effective application is another matter. On a small island like St Barth, if each actors feel involved the implementation of conservation measures could be easier. The next step will be the drafting of the first shark action plan. May be a springboard for others islands in the FWI?

## Acknowledgement

OSPAR Commission for Protecting and Conserving the North-East Atlantic, the Italian Agency for Cooperation and Development (IACD) of the Italian Ministry of Foreign Affairs, International Cooperation, through the UN Environment CEP and Gulf and Caribbean Fisheries Institute (GCFI) for their support at the 71th GCFI Annual meeting.

The European Union for the financial support to the project under the BEST 2.0 program.

## Literature cited

Beaufort, O. (2017). Liste des espèces de chondrichtyens (requins, raies et chimères) identifiées dans les eaux des Antilles françaises. Association Kap Natirel. 8 p.

Cappo, M., P. Speare, and G. De'ath. (2004). Comparison of baited remote underwater video stations (BRUVS) and prawn (shrimp) trawls for assessments of fish biodiversity in inter-reefal areas of the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 302:123–152.

Diaz, N. (2003). Plan de gestion marine de Saint Barthélemy. Réserve Naturelle de Saint Barthélemy. 145.

INSEE. (2015). Recensement de la population - Fiche de synthèse des populations légales pour la collectivité d'outremer de Saint-Barthélemy. Paris, France. 8.

Jadot C. (2016). Environmental Conservation in Saint Barthélemy — Current knowledge and research recommendations. Wildlife Conservation Society, Bronx NY USA. 125pp.